arXiv:1511.01148v1 [math.NT] 3 Nov 2015

# RUDNICK AND SOUNDARARAJAN'S THEOREM FOR FUNCTION FIELDS

JULIO ANDRADE

Abstract. In this paper we prove a function field version of a theorem by Rudnick and Soundararajan about lower bounds for moments of quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions. We establish lower bounds for the moments of quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions associated to hyperelliptic curves of genus $g$ over a fixed finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ in the large genus $g$ limit.

## 1. Introduction

It is a fundamental problem in analytic number theory to estimate moments of central values of $L$–functions in families. For example, in the case of the Riemann zeta function the question is to establish asymptotic formulae for

$$M_k(T) := \int_1^T |\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)|^{2k} dt, \tag{1.1}$$

where $k$ is a positive integer and $T \to \infty$.

A believed folklore conjecture asserts that, as $T \to \infty$, there is a positive constant $C_k$ such that

$$M_k(T) \sim C_k T (\log T)^{k^2}. \tag{1.2}$$

Due to the work of Conrey and Ghosh [3] the conjecture above assumes a more explicit form, namely

$$C_k = \frac{a_k g_k}{\Gamma(k^2+1)}, \tag{1.3}$$

where

*Date*: November 5, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M38; Secondary 11G20, 11M50, 14G10.

*Key words and phrases.* function fields and finite fields and hyperelliptic curves and lower bounds for moments and moments of $L$–functions and quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions and random matrix theory.

$$a_k = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{k^2} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{d_k(m)^2}{p^m} \right], \tag{1.4}$$

$g_k$ is an integer when $k$ is an integer and $d_k(n)$ is the number of ways to represent $n$ as a product of $k$ factors.

Asymptotics for $M_k(T)$ are only known for $k = 1$, due to Hardy and Littlewood [7]

$$M_1(T) \sim T \log T, \tag{1.5}$$

and for $k = 2$, due to Ingham [10]

$$M_2(T) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi^2} T \log^4 T. \tag{1.6}$$

Unfortunately the recent technology does not allow us to obtain asymptotics for higher moments of the Riemann zeta function. The same statement applies for the higher moments of other $L$–functions. However, due to the precursor work of Keating and Snaith [14, 15] and, subsequently, due to the work of Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein and Snaith [4], and Diaconu, Goldfeld and Hoffstein [5], there are now very elegant conjectures for moments of $L$–functions.

The work of Katz and Sarnak [12, 13] associates a symmetry group for each family of $L$–function and the moments are sensitive and take different forms for each one of these groups. In other words the conjectured asymptotic formulas for the moments of families of $L$–function depends whether the symmetry group attached to the family is unitary, orthogonal or symplectic. For a recent and detailed discussion about a working definition of a family of $L$–functions see [21].

We will typify the conjectures above by considering different families of $L$–functions. For example, the family of all Dirichlet $L$–functions $L(s, \chi)$, as $\chi$ varies over primitive characters (mod $q$), is an example of a unitary family, and it is conjectured that

$$\sum_{\chi (\bmod q)}^{*} |L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi)|^{2k} \sim C_{U(N)}(k) q (\log q)^{k^2}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_{U(N)}(k)$ is a positive constant. For a symplectic family of $L$–functions we consider the quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions $L(s, \chi_d)$ associated to the quadratic character $\chi_d$, as $d$ varies over fundamental discriminants. In this case it is conjectured that

$$\sum_{|d| \leq X}^{\flat} L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi_d)^k \sim C_{USp(2N)}(k) X (\log X)^{k(k+1)/2}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_{USp(2N)}(k)$ is a positive constant. And finally we consider the family of $L$–functions associated to Hecke eigencuspforms $f$ of weight $k$ for the full modular group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ as $f$ varies in the set $H_k$ of Hecke eigencuspforms. This is an example of an orthogonal family and it is conjectured that

$$\sum_{f\in H_k}^{h} L(\tfrac{1}{2},f)^r \sim C_{O(N)}(r)(\log k)^{r(r-1)/2}, \tag{1.9}$$

where $C_{O(N)}(r)$ is a positive constant, $k \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ 4)$ and

$$\sum_{f\in H_k}^{h} L(\tfrac{1}{2},f)^r := \sum_{f\in H_k} \frac{1}{\omega_f} L(\tfrac{1}{2},f)^r, \tag{1.10}$$

with

$$\omega_f := \frac{(4\pi)^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k-1)} \langle f,f\rangle = \frac{k-1}{2\pi^2} L(1,\mathrm{Sym}^2 f), \tag{1.11}$$

where $\langle f,f\rangle$ denotes the Petersson inner product. For more details on Hecke eigencuspforms $L$–functions see Iwaniec [11].

The conjectures (1.2), (1.7) and (1.8) can be verified for small values of $k$ and the same holds for (1.9), where it can be verified only for small values of $r$. Ramachandra [17] showed that

$$\int_1^T |\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)|^{2k} dt \gg T(\log T)^{k^2}, \tag{1.12}$$

for positive integers $k$. Titchmarsh [24, Theorem 7.19] had proved a smooth version of these lower bound for positive integer $k$. The work of Heath–Brown [8] extends (1.12) for all positive rational numbers $k$. Recently Radziwiłł and Soundararajan [16] proved that

$$M_k(T) \geq e^{-30k^4} T(\log T)^{k^2}, \tag{1.13}$$

for any real number $k > 1$ and all large $T$. For other families of $L$–functions, as those given above, the lower bounds for moments were proved by Rudnick and Soundarajan in [19, 20] where they have established that

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi(\mathrm{mod}\ q)\\ \chi\neq\chi_0}}^{*} |L(\tfrac{1}{2},\chi)|^{2k} \gg_k q(\log q)^{k^2}, \tag{1.14}$$

for a fixed natural number $k$ and all large primes $q$. They also proved in [20] that

$$\sum_{f\in H_k}^{h} L(\tfrac{1}{2},f)^r \gg_r (\log k)^{r(r-1)/2}, \tag{1.15}$$

for any given natural number $r$, and weight $k \geq 12$ with $k \equiv 0(\mod 4)$. And for the symplectic family they showed that for every even natural number $k$

$$\sum_{|d|\leq X}^{\flat} L(\tfrac{1}{2},\chi_d)^k \gg_k X(\log X)^{k(k+1)/2}, \tag{1.16}$$

where the sum is taken over fundamental discriminants $d$. Radziwiłł and Soundararajan [16] pointed out that their method may easily be modified to provide lower bounds for moments to the case of $L$–functions in families, for any real number $k > 1$.

Recently, in a beautiful paper, Tamam [23] proved the function field analogue of (1.14). In this paper we consider the function field analogue of equation (1.16) for quadratic Dirichlet $L$–functions associated to a family of hyperelliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_q$. See next section.

## 2. Main Theorem

Before we enunciate the main theorem of this paper we need a few basic facts about rational function fields. We start by fixing a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of odd cardinality $q = p^a$ with $p$ a prime. And we denote by $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and by $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ the rational function field over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

The zeta function associated to $A$ is defined by the following Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_A(s) := \sum_{\substack{f\in A\\ \text{monic}}} \frac{1}{|f|^s} \qquad \text{for } \mathrm{Re}(s) > 1, \tag{2.1}$$

where $|f| = q^{\deg(f)}$ for $f \neq 0$ and $|f| = 0$ for $f = 0$. Surprisingly the zeta function associated to $A$ is a much simpler object than the usual Riemann zeta function and can be showed that

$$\zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{1-q^{1-s}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $D$ be a square–free monic polynomial in $A$ of degree odd. Then we define the quadratic character $\chi_D$ attached to $D$ by making use of the quadratic residue symbol for $\mathbb{F}_q[T]$ by

$$\chi_D(f) = \left(\frac{D}{f}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

In other words, if $P \in A$ is monic irreducible we have

$$\chi_D(P) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } P \mid D, \\ 1, & \text{if } P \not| D \text{ and } D \text{ is a square modulo } P, \\ -1, & \text{if } P \not| D \text{ and } D \text{ is a non square modulo } P. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

For more details about Dirichlet characters for function fields see [18, Chapter 3] and [6].

We attach to the character $\chi_D$ the quadratic Dirichlet $L$–function defined by

$$L(s, \chi_D) = \sum_{\substack{f \in A \\ f \text{ monic}}} \frac{\chi_D(f)}{|f|^s} \qquad \text{for } \mathrm{Re}(s) > 1. \tag{2.5}$$

If $D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} = \{D \in A, \text{ square–free, monic and } \deg(D) = 2g+1\}, \tag{2.6}$$

then the $L$–function associated to $\chi_D$ is indeed the numerator of the zeta function associated to the hyperelliptic curve $C_D : y^2 = D(T)$ and therefore $L(s, \chi_D)$ is a polynomial in $u = q^{-s}$ of degree $2g$ given by

$$L(s, \chi_D) = \sum_{n=0}^{2g} \sum_{\substack{f \text{ monic} \\ \deg(f)=n}} \chi_D(f) q^{-ns}. \tag{2.7}$$

(see [18, Propositions 14.6 and 17.7] and [1, Section 3]).

This $L$–function satisfies a functional equation, namely

$$L(s, \chi_D) = (q^{1-2s})^g L(1-s, \chi_D), \tag{2.8}$$

and the Riemann hypothesis for curves proved by Weil [25] tell us that all the zeros of $L(s, \chi_D)$ have real part equals $1/2$.

The main result of this paper is now presented:

**Theorem 2.1.** *For every even natural number $k$ we have,*

$$\frac{1}{\#\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \sum_{D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi_D)^k \gg_k (\log_q |D|)^{k(k+1)/2}. \tag{2.9}$$

**Remark 2.1.** *To avoid any misunderstanding concerning the notation and conventions presented in this paper it is necessary a note about the notation used in the theorem above and in the rest of this note. On the formula above the right-hand side of the main lower bound appears $|D| = q^{2g+1}$ while $D$ is the summation variable on the left-hand side of that same formula. This is done because the function $D \mapsto |D|$ is constant within $\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}$ and so we can always write*

$$\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} |D| = |D| \sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} 1.$$

*In the case the reader feel uncomfortable with the above notation he/she can always remember that* $|D| = q^{2g+1}$.

**Remark 2.2.** *For simplicity, we will restrict ourselves to the fundamental discriminants* $D \in A$, $D$ *monic and* $deg(D) = 2g + 1$. *But the calculations are analogous for the even case, i.e.,* $deg(D) = 2g + 2$.

Using the same techniques developed by Rudnick and Soundararajan in [19, 20] and extended for function fields in this paper we can also prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *For every even natural number* $k$ *and* $n = 2g+1$ *or* $n = 2g+2$ *we have,*

$$\frac{1}{\pi_A(n)} \sum_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ deg(P)=n}} L(\tfrac{1}{2}, \chi_P)^k \gg_k (\log_q |P|)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}, \tag{2.10}$$

*where* $\pi_A(n) = \#\{P \in \mathbb{F}_q[T] \text{ monic and irreducible, } deg(P) = n\}$ *and the prime number theorem for polynomials* [18, Theorem 2.2] *says that* $\pi_A(n) = \frac{q^n}{n} + O\left(\frac{q^{n/2}}{n}\right)$.

## 3. Necessary Tools

In this section we present some auxiliary lemmas that will be used in the proof of the main theorem. We start with:

**Lemma 3.1** ("Approximate" Functional Equation)**.** *Let* $D \in \mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}$. *Then* $L(s, \chi_D)$ *can be represented as*

$$L(s, \chi_D) = \sum_{\substack{f_1 \text{ monic} \\ deg(f_1)\leq g}} \frac{\chi_D(f_1)}{|f_1|^s} + (q^{1-2s})^g \sum_{\substack{f_2 \text{ monic} \\ deg(f_2)\leq g-1}} \frac{\chi_D(f_2)}{|f_2|^{1-s}}. \tag{3.1}$$

*Proof.* The proof of this Lemma can be found in [1, Lemma 3.3]. □

The following lemma is the function field analogue of Pólya–Vinogradov inequality for character sums.

**Lemma 3.2** (Pólya–Vinogradov inequality for $\mathbb{F}_q(T)$)**.** *Let* $\chi$ *be a non–principal Dirichlet character modulo* $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ *such that* $deg(Q)$ *is odd. Then we have,*

$$\sum_{deg(f)=x} \chi(f) \ll |Q|^{1/2}. \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* The proof of this Lemma can be found in [9, Proposition 2.1]. □

The next lemma is taken from Andrade-Keating [1, Proposition 5.2] and it is about counting the number of square–free polynomials coprime to a fixed monic polynomial.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $f \in A$ be a fixed monic polynomial. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we have that*

$$\sum_{\substack{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}\\(D,f)=1}} 1 = \frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)} \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic}\\ \text{irreducible}\\ P|f}} \left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right) + O\left(|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}|f|^{\varepsilon}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1.

Let $k$ be a given even number, and set $x = \frac{2(2g)}{15k}$. We define

$$A(D) = \sum_{\deg(n)\leq x} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{\sqrt{|n|}}, \tag{4.1}$$

and let

$$S_1 := \sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\tfrac{1}{2},\chi_D)A(D)^{k-1}, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$S_2 := \sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} A(D)^k. \tag{4.3}$$

An application of Triangle inequality followed by Hölder's inequality gives us that,

$$\left|\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\tfrac{1}{2},\chi_D)A(D)^{k-1}\right| \leq \sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} |L(\tfrac{1}{2},\chi_D)||A(D)|^{k-1}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\tfrac{1}{2},\chi_D)^k\right)^{1/k} \left(\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} A(D)^k\right)^{\frac{k-1}{k}}. \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.4) we have

$$\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\tfrac{1}{2},\chi_D)^k \geq \frac{\left(\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} L(\frac{1}{2},\chi_D)A(D)^{k-1}\right)^k}{\left(\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} A(D)^k\right)^{k-1}}$$

$$= \frac{S_1^k}{S_2^{k-1}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Hence from (4.5) we can see that to prove Theorem 2.1 we only need to give satisfactory estimates for $S_1$ and $S_2$. We start with $S_2$.

4.1. **Estimating $S_2$.** We have that

$$A(D)^k = \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k \\ \deg(n_j)\leq x \\ j=1,\dots,k}} \frac{\chi_D(n_1\dots n_k)}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_k|}}. \tag{4.6}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} A(D)^k \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k \\ \deg(n_j)\leq x \\ j=1,\dots,k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_k|}} \sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left(\frac{D}{n_1\dots n_k}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

At this stage we need an auxiliary Lemma. It is called orthogonal relations for quadratic characters and it has appeared in a different form in [1, 2, 6].

**Lemma 4.1.** *If $n \in A$ is not a perfect square then*

$$\sum_{\substack{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \\ n\neq\square}} \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) \ll |D|^{1/2}|n|^{1/4}. \tag{4.8}$$

*And if $n \in A$ is a perfect square then*

$$\sum_{\substack{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q} \\ n=\square}} \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) = \frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)} \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ P|n}} \left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right) + O\left(|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}|n|^{\varepsilon}\right), \tag{4.9}$$

*for any $\varepsilon > 0$.*

**Remark 4.2.** *Equation* (4.8) *can be seen as an improvement on the estimate given in* [6, Lemma 3.1]. *And the same equation* (4.8) *can be used to improve the error term in the first moment of quadratic Dirichlet L–functions over function fields as given in* [1, Theorem 2.1].

*Proof.* If $n = \square$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}\\ n=\square}} \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) = \sum_{\substack{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}\\ (D,n)=1}} 1. \tag{4.10}$$

By invoking Lemma 3.3 we establish equation (4.9).

For (4.8) we write

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) &= \sum_{2\alpha+\beta=2g+1} \sum_{\deg(B)=\beta} \sum_{\deg(A)=\alpha} \mu(A) \left(\frac{A^2B}{n}\right)\\ &= \sum_{0\le\alpha\le g} \sum_{\deg(A)=\alpha} \mu(A) \left(\frac{A^2}{n}\right) \sum_{\deg(B)=2g+1-2\alpha} \left(\frac{B}{n}\right)\\ &\le \sum_{0\le\alpha\le g} \sum_{\deg(A)=\alpha} \sum_{\deg(B)=2g+1-2\alpha} \left(\frac{B}{n}\right). \qquad (4.11)\end{aligned}$$

If $n \neq \square$ then $\sum_{\deg(B)=2g+1-2\alpha} \left(\frac{B}{n}\right)$ is a character sum to a non–principal character modulo $n$. So using Lemma 3.2 we have that

$$\sum_{\deg(B)=2g+1-2\alpha} \left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \ll |n|^{1/2}. \tag{4.12}$$

Further we can estimate trivially the non–principal character sum by

$$\sum_{\deg(B)=2g+1-2\alpha} \left(\frac{B}{n}\right) \ll \frac{|D|}{|A|^2} = q^{2g+1-2\alpha}. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus, if $n \neq \square$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) &\ll \sum_{0\le\alpha\le g} \sum_{\deg(A)=\alpha} \min\left(|n|^{1/2}, \frac{|D|}{|A|^2}\right)\\ &\ll |D|^{\frac{1}{2}}|n|^{\frac{1}{4}}, \qquad (4.14)\end{aligned}$$

upon using the first bound (4.12) for $\alpha \le g - \frac{\deg(n)}{4}$ and the second bound (4.13) for larger $\alpha$. And this concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Using Lemma 4.1 in (4.7) we obtain that

$$S_2 = \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k \\ \deg(n_j)\le x \\ j=1,\dots,k \\ n_1\dots n_k=\square}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_k|}}\left(\frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)}\prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ P|n_1\dots n_k}}\left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right)\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k \\ \deg(n_j)\le x \\ j=1,\dots,k \\ n_1\dots n_k=\square}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_k|}} O\left(|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}|n_1\dots n_k|^{\varepsilon}\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k \\ \deg(n_j)\le x \\ j=1,\dots,k \\ n_1\dots n_k\neq\square}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_k|}} O\left(|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}|n_1\dots n_k|^{\frac{1}{4}}\right). \tag{4.15}$$

After some arithmetic manipulations with the $O$–terms we get that

$$S_2 = \frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)} \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k \\ \deg(n_j)\le x \\ j=1,\dots,k \\ n_1\dots n_k=\square}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_k|}} \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ P|n_1\dots n_k}}\left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right)$$
$$+ O\left(|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\left(\frac{3}{4}+\varepsilon\right)x}\right). \tag{4.16}$$

Since $x = \frac{2(2g)}{15k}$, the error term above is $\ll |D|^{\frac{3}{5}}$. So,

$$S_2 = \frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)} \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k \\ \deg(n_j)\le x \\ j=1,\dots,k \\ n_1\dots n_k=\square}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_k|}} \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ P|n_1\dots n_k}}\left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right) + O\left(|D|^{\frac{3}{5}}\right). \tag{4.17}$$

Writing $n_1\dots n_k = m^2$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{m^2 \text{ monic} \\ \deg(m^2)\le x}} \frac{d_k(m^2)}{|m|} \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ P|m}} \left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right) \\
&\le \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_k \\ \deg(n_j)\le x \\ j=1,\dots,k \\ n_1\dots n_k=\square=m^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_k|}} \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ P|n_1\dots n_k}} \left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right) \\
&\le \sum_{\substack{m^2 \text{ monic} \\ \deg(m^2)\le kx}} \frac{d_k(m^2)}{|m|} \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ P|m}} \left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right), \qquad (4.18)
\end{aligned}$$

where $d_k(m)$ represents the number of ways to write the monic polynomial $m$ as a product of $k$ factors.

We need to obtain an estimate for

$$\sum_{\substack{m \text{ monic} \\ \deg(m)=x}} d_k(m^2)a_m, \qquad (4.19)$$

where $a_m = \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ P|m}} \left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right)$.

To obtain the desired estimate we consider the corresponding Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_f(s) = \sum_{m \text{ monic}} \frac{d_k(m^2)a_m}{|m|^s} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\deg(m)=x} d_k(m^2)a_m u^x = Z_f(u), \qquad (4.20)$$

with $u = q^{-s}$. Writing the above as an Euler product

$$\zeta_f(s) = \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible}}} \left(1 + \frac{d_k(P^2)a_P}{|P|^s} + \frac{d_k(P^4)a_{P^2}}{|P|^{2s}} + \cdots\right), \qquad (4.21)$$

we can identify the poles of $\zeta_f(s)$. Similar calculations carried out in the classical case by Soundararajan and Rudnick [20, page 9] and Selberg [22, Theorem 2], and for function fields by Andrade and Keating [2, Section 4.3] shows us that $\zeta_f(s)$ has a pole at $s = 1$ of order $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$. Therefore we can write

$$\zeta_f(s) = \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^s}\right)^{-\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible}}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k(P^{2j})}{|P|^{js}}\right)\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{|P|^s}\right)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}, \tag{4.22}$$

where the first product has a pole at $s = 1$ of order $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ and the second product above (4.22) is convergent for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ and holomorphic in $\{s \in B \mid \mathrm{Re}(s) = 1\}$ with

$$B = \left\{ s \in \mathbb{C} \mid -\frac{\pi i}{\log(q)} \leq \Im(s) < \frac{\pi i}{\log(q)} \right\}. \tag{4.23}$$

Thus we can use Theorem 17.4 from [18] to obtain the desired estimate. But we sketch below how this can be done. A standard contour integration (Cauchy's theorem)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_\varepsilon + C} \frac{Z_f(u)}{u^{x+1}} du = \sum \mathrm{Res}(Z_f(u) u^{-x-1}), \tag{4.24}$$

where $C$ is the boundary of the disc $\{u \in \mathbb{C} \mid |u| \leq q^{-\delta}\}$ for some $\delta < 1$ and $C_\varepsilon$ a small circle about $s = 0$ oriented clockwise. There is only one pole in the integration region $C_\varepsilon + C$ and it is located at $u = q^{-1}$ as can be seen from (4.22). To find the residue there, we expand both $Z_f(u)$ and $u^{-x-1}$ in Laurent series about $u = q^{-1}$, multiply the results together, and pick out the coefficient of $(u - q^{-1})^{-1}$. After this residue calculation we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{m \text{ monic} \\ \deg(m) = x}} d_k(m^2) a_m \sim C(k) q^x x^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2} - 1}, \tag{4.25}$$

for a positive constant $C(k)$ explicitly given by

$$C(k) = \frac{\log(q)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}}{\left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2} - 1\right)!} \alpha, \tag{4.26}$$

with

$$\alpha = \lim_{s \to 1} \left[ (s-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \zeta_f(s) \right]. \tag{4.27}$$

In the end we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{m \text{ monic} \\ \deg(m)\le z}} \frac{d_k(m^2)}{|m|} \prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic} \\ \text{irreducible} \\ P|m}} \left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right) \sim C(k)(z)^{k(k+1)/2}. \tag{4.28}$$

Therefore we can conclude that

$$S_2 \ll |D|(\log_q |D|)^{k(k+1)/2}. \tag{4.29}$$

4.2. **Estimating $S_1$.** It remains to evaluate $S_1$ and for that we need an "approximate" functional equation for $L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi_D)$. Using Lemma 3.1 with $s = \frac{1}{2}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left( \sum_{\deg(f_1)\le g} \frac{\chi_D(f_1)}{|f_1|^{1/2}} + \sum_{\deg(f_2)\le g-1} \frac{\chi_D(f_2)}{|f_2|^{1/2}} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1} \\ \deg(n_j)\le x \\ j=1,\dots,k-1}} \frac{\chi_D(n_1\dots n_{k-1})}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\deg(f_1)\le g} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1|}} \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1} \\ \deg(n_j)\le x \\ j=1,\dots,k-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}} \sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left(\frac{D}{f_1 n_1\dots n_{k-1}}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\deg(f_2)\le g-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_2|}} \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1} \\ \deg(n_j)\le x \\ j=1,\dots,k-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}} \sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}} \left(\frac{D}{f_2 n_1\dots n_{k-1}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

In the last equality in equation (4.30) the sums over $f_1$ and $f_2$ are exactly the same, with the only difference being the size of the sums, i.e., $\deg(f_1) \le g$ and $\deg(f_2) \le g-1$. We estimate only the $f_1$ sum in the last equality and the result being the same for the $f_2$ sum just replacing $g$ by $g-1$.

If $f_1 n_1 \dots n_{k-1}$ is not a square then an application of Lemma 4.1 gives us that

$$\sum_{\deg(f_1)\leq g}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1|}}\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(n_j)\leq x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}}\left(\frac{D}{f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1}}\right)$$

$$\ll\sum_{\deg(f_1)\leq g}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1|}}\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(n_j)\leq x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}|f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1}|^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$=|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{\deg(f_1)\leq g}|f_1|^{-\frac{1}{4}}\sum_{\deg(n_1)\leq x}|n_1|^{-\frac{1}{4}}\cdots\sum_{\deg(n_{k-1})\leq x}|n_{k-1}|^{-\frac{1}{4}}$$

$$\ll|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}q^{\frac{3}{4}g}(q^x)^{(k-1)\frac{3}{4}}. \tag{4.31}$$

With our choice of $x$, we have that for $f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1}$ not a square

$$\sum_{\deg(f_1)\leq g}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1|}}\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(n_j)\leq x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}}\left(\frac{D}{f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1}}\right)$$

$$\ll|D|^{\frac{39}{40}}. \tag{4.32}$$

For $f_2n_1\dots n_{k-1}$ not equal to a perfect square, the same reasoning gives

$$\sum_{\deg(f_2)\leq g-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_2|}}\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(n_j)\leq x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}}\left(\frac{D}{f_2n_1\dots n_{k-1}}\right)$$

$$\ll|D|^{\frac{39}{40}}. \tag{4.33}$$

It remains to estimate the main–term in $S_1$. If $f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1}$ is a perfect square then

$$\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}}\sum_{\substack{f_1,n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(f_1)\leq g\\ \deg(n_j)\leq x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1||n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}\chi_D(f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1}) \tag{4.34}$$

$$=\sum_{\substack{f_1,n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(f_1)\leq g\\ \deg(n_j)\leq x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1||n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}}\chi_D(f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1}).$$

By Lemma 3.3 we have that (4.34) becomes

$$\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}}\sum_{\substack{f_1,n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(f_1)\le g\\ \deg(n_j)\le x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1||n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}\chi_D(f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1})$$

$$=\sum_{\substack{f_1,n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(f_1)\le g\\ \deg(n_j)\le x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1||n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}\frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)}\prod_{\substack{P \text{ monic}\\ \text{irreducible}\\ P|f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1}}}\left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right)$$

$$+O\left(\sum_{\substack{f_1,n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(f_1)\le g\\ \deg(n_j)\le x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1||n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}|D|^{\frac12}|f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1}|^{\varepsilon}\right) \tag{4.35}$$

If we call $a_f=\prod_{P|f}\left(\frac{|P|}{|P|+1}\right)$, then we have

$$\sum_{D\in\mathcal{H}_{2g+1,q}}\sum_{\substack{f_1,n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ \deg(f_1)\le g\\ \deg(n_j)\le x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|f_1||n_1|\dots|n_{k-1}|}}\chi_D(f_1n_1\dots n_{k-1})$$

$$=\frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)}\sum_{\deg(m)\le\frac{g+(k-1)x}{2}}\frac{a_{m^2}d_k(m^2)}{|m|}+O\left(|D|^{\frac12}q^{g(\varepsilon-\frac12)+g}(q^{x(\varepsilon-\frac12)+x})^{k-1}\right). \tag{4.36}$$

With our choice of $x$ we have that the $O$–term above is $\ll|D|^{39/40}$.

The last step is to estimate the main term contribution

$$\frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)}\sum_{\deg(m)\le\frac{g+(k-1)x}{2}}\frac{a_{m^2}d_k(m^2)}{|m|}. \tag{4.37}$$

By employing the same reasoning of Rudnick and Soundararajan [20, page 10] we write $n_1\cdots n_{k-1}=rh^2$ where $r$ and $h$ are monic polynomials and $r$ is square–free. Then $f_1$ is of the form $rl^2$. With this notation the main term contribution is

$$\frac{|D|}{\zeta_A(2)}\sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}\\ n_1\cdots n_{k-1}=rh^2\\ \deg(n_j)\le x\\ j=1,\dots,k-1}}\frac{1}{|rh|}\sum_{\substack{l \text{ monic}\\ \deg(l)\le\frac{g-\deg(r)}{2}}}\frac{1}{|l|}a_{rhl}. \tag{4.38}$$

Note that $\deg(r) \leq (k-1)x$ and an easy calculation as those used in [20, page 10] and [1, Lemma 5.7 and pages 2812–2813] gives that the sum over $l$ above is

$$\sum_{\substack{l \text{ monic} \\ \deg(l) \leq \frac{g-\deg(r)}{2}}} \frac{1}{|l|} a_{rhl} \sim C(r,h) a_{rh} g, \tag{4.39}$$

for some positive constant $C(r,h)$.

Therefore follows that the main term contribution to (4.36) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\gg |D|(\log_q |D|) \sum_{\substack{n_1,\dots,n_{k-1} \\ n_1 \cdots n_{k-1} = rh^2 \\ \deg(n_j) \leq x \\ j=1,\dots,k-1}} \frac{1}{|rh|} a_{rh} \\ &\gg |D|(\log_q |D|) \sum_{\substack{r,h \\ \deg(rh^2) \leq x}} \frac{d_{k-1}(rh^2)}{|rh|} a_{rh} \\ &\gg |D|(\log_q |D|)^{k(k+1)/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

where the last bound follows by activating the same estimate as proved in past section, replacing $k$ by $k-1$. The same argument applies to the second sum in (4.29) replacing $g$ by $g-1$. Therefore we can conclude that

$$S_1 \gg |D|(\log_q |D|)^{k(k+1)/2}. \tag{4.41}$$

Combining (4.29) and (4.41) finishes the prove of Theorem 2.1.

*Acknowledgement.* I am very happy to thank the American Institute of Mathematics (AIM) where this work was finished during the workshop "Arithmetic Statistics over Finite Fields and Function Fields 2014".
I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Zeév Rudnick for his constant encouragement and for his helpful comments in an earlier draft of this manuscript. I also would like to thank to the comments of an anonymous referee.
The author also would like to thank the support of a William Hodge Fellowship (EPSRC) and an IHÉS Postdoctoral Research Fellowship. This research was also supported by EPSRC grant EP/K021132X/1.

## References

[1] Andrade, J.C., Keating, J.P.: The mean value of $L(\frac{1}{2}, \chi)$ in the hyperelliptic ensemble, J. Number Theory, **132**, 2793–2816 (2012).
[2] Andrade, J.C., Keating, J.P: Conjectures for the Integral Moments and Ratios of $L$–functions over function fields, J. Number Theory, **142**, 102–148 (2014).

[3] Conrey, B., Ghosh, A.: Mean values of the Riemann zeta function III, Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory (Maiori, 1989), 35–39. Università di Salerno, Salerno (1992).
[4] Conrey, J.B., Farmer, D.W., Keating, J.P., Rubinstein, M.O., Snaith, N.C.: Integral moments of $L$-functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., **91**, 33–104 (2005).
[5] Diaconu, A., Goldfeld, D., Hoffstein, J.: Multiple Dirichlet Series and moments of zeta and $L$-functions, Compos. Math., **139**, 297–360 (2003).
[6] Faifman, D., Rudnick, Z.: Statistics of the zeros of zeta functions in families of hyperelliptic curves over a nite eld, Compos. Math., **146**, 81–101 (2010).
[7] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E.: Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function and the theory of the distribution of primes, Acta Math., **41**, 119–196 (1918).
[8] Heath-Brown, D.R.: Fractional moments of the Riemann zeta function, J. London Math. Soc., **24**, 65–78 (1981).
[9] Hsu, C.: Estimates for Coefficients of $L$-Functions for Function Fields, Finite Fields and Their Applications, **5**, 76–88 (1999).
[10] Ingham, A.E.: Mean-value theorems in the theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., **27**, 273–300 (1926).
[11] Iwaniec, H.: Topics in classical automorphic forms. Graduate studies in mathematics, vol. **17**. American Mathematical Society (AMS), Providence, RI (1997).
[12] Katz, N.M., Sarnak, P.C.: Random Matrices, Frobenius Eigenvalues, and Monodromy, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. **45**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1999).
[13] Katz, N.M., Sarnak, P.C.: Zeroes of zeta functions and symmetry, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), **36**, 1–26 (1999).
[14] Keating, J.P., Snaith, N.C.: Random matrix theory and $\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)$, Comm. Math. Phys., **214**, 57–89 (2000).
[15] Keating, J.P., Snaith, N.C.: Random matrix theory and $L$-functions at $s=\frac{1}{2}$ , Comm. Math. Phys., **214**, 91–110 (2000).
[16] Radziwiłł, M., Soundararajan, K.: Continuous lower bounds for moments of zeta and $L$-functions, Mathematika, **59**, 119–128.(2013).
[17] Ramachandra, K.: Some remarks on the mean valueof the Riemann zeta-function and other Dirichlet series II, Hardy-Ramanujan J., **3**, 1–25 (1980).
[18] Rosen, M.: Number Theory in Function Fields. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 210. Springer-Verlag, New York (2002).
[19] Rudnick, Z., Soundararajan, K.: Lower bounds for moments of $L$-functions, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, **102**, no. 19, 6837–6838 (2005).
[20] Rudnick, Z., Soundararajan, K.: Lower bounds for moments of $L$-functions: symplectic and orthogonal examples. Multiple Dirichlet series, automorphic forms, and analytic number theory, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 75, 293–303, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2006).
[21] Sarnak, P., Shin, S., Templier, N.: Families of L-functions and their Symmetry, preprint arXiv:1401.5507 (2014).
[22] Selberg, A.: Note on a paper by L. G. Sathe, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.), **18**, 83–87 (1954).
[23] Tamam, N.: The Fourth Moment of Dirichlet $L$–functions for the rational function field, Int. J. Number Theory, **10**, No. 01, 183–218 (2014).
[24] Titchmarsh, E.C.: The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1986)
[25] Weil, A.: Sur les Courbes Algébriques et les Variétés qui s'en Déduisent. Hermann, Paris (1948).

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX2 6GG, UK
*E-mail address*: buenodeandra@maths.ox.ac.uk